University Business School, Punjab University, Chandigarh-160025.

Profiling Organisational Commitment : An Empirical Study of Public and Private Sector of Food Processing Industry

INTRODUCTION

In the era of globalization, organisation of market economies face strong pressures to be both efficient and produce value added outputs. The goal of efficiency and competitiveness can be attained if organizations possess the right people with the right attitudes and skills. That is why organisation, across the business landscape, is being seen battling out for workforce share in a way they battle for market share.

Organisations have realized that their sur ival largely depends upon their ability to attract, motivate, and retain the key talent needed. While the factors, such as capital, equipment, and other resources are important for organizational success and thrive; the human factor appears to be the most important one since it is the people who have to use all other resources. Without the productive efforts and full-hearted engagement of workers, the material and other resources of the organisation would be of no use. Not even the latest technologies would be able to produce the targeted results. Committed workforce is a 'hallmark' of a successful organisation. Committed or dedicated employees are expected to be more productive and work with focus on quality to increase customer satisfaction and the profitability of their organisation. In other words, committed employees are the salient resources and the foundations of any organisation.

Organizational Commitment or Member Identity is a value based behaviorally anchored cultural variable of organisational environment. It is an attitudinal or emotive dimension of work motivation, manifesting itself in member's behaviour. Organisational Commitment is widely studied area in interest of individually and collectively with other vital organisational factors predicting the success of an organization in the true sense. Before getting into its nitty-gritty's,

ABSTRACT

The present study was designed to compare Organisational Commitment for the employees in public and Private sector organizations in Food Processing Industry, to look out for the association between subscales of organisational commitment and to study these dimensions of organisational commitment vis-a- vis different psycho-demographic factors of the employees. The scope of the study was Public and Private Sector Organisations belonging to Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh. The sample comprised of 196 respondents drawn from three public sector organisations, and six private sector organizations. Comparisons made on the basis of hierarchical levels and other psycho-demographic factors make the study comprehensive. The results revealed a very significant and positive correlation between three subscales of Organisational Commitment. Almost all other null hypotheses concerning level of Organisational Commitment vis-a-vis failed to be rejected unlike previous similar studies.

Keywords: Organizational Commitment, Food Processing Industry, Psycho-Demographic Factors

a more simple and comprehensive definition of Organisational Commitment is certainly needed to be mentioned.

Hall et al. (1970) define organizational commitment as the "process by which the goals of the organizations and those of the individual become increasingly integrated and congruent". Buchanan (1974) concluded that an acceptable definition of organizational commitment was still lacking. A more basic problem appears to be that there are at least two distinct approaches to defining commitment, the psychological approach and the exchange approach. In an example of the psychological approach, Sheldon (1971) defines organizational commitment as an attitude or an orientation towards the organizations, which links or attracts the identity of the person to the organizations. Kanter (1968) and Buchanan (1974) also emphasize the affective attachment of the individual to the organization. A common deficiency in this approach is that commitment is treated as discrete from complementary work attitudes without specifying the nature or direction of links with these other orientations (e.g., loyalty, job involvement, motivation et cetera).

Porter et al. (1974), define organizational commitment as "the strength of an individual's identification with and involvement in a particular organization". Salancik (1977) defines organizational commitment as "a state of being in which an individual becomes bound by actions to beliefs that sustains activities and involvement". Salancik (1977) proposed two approaches-prospective and retrospective. In prospective view, commitment is conceived as an individual's psychological bond to the organisation/social system, as reflected in his involvement with, loyalty for and belief in the values of the organisation. In retrospective view, commitment results as individual becomes bound to the behavioral acts that are chosen voluntarily (Raju and Srivastava, 1986).

Meyer and Allen (1991) held that organizational commitment is a multidimensional construct comprising three components: affective, continuance and normative. Affective commitment has been defined as an employee's emotional attachment to identification with and involvement in the organization. Employees with a strong affective commitment will remain in the organization because they want to. Continuance commitment on the other hand has to do with one's awareness of the costs

associated with leaving the present organization. Employees whose commitment is in the nature of continuance will remain in the organization because they have to. **Normative commitment** has to do with feeling of obligations to the organization based on one's personal norms and values. Employees whose commitment to the organization is said to be of the normative type remains in the organization simply because they believe they ought to.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Organisational Commitment was found to be a very important organizational variable defining the success of an organization in many ways (being associated with major organizational variables). Organizational commitment was found to be associated with organizational adaptability, turnover, and tardiness rate (Angle et al. 1981). Thanswor et al. (2004) showed the strong association between the subscales of Organisational Commitment and Organisational Citizenship Behaviour. Jauch and Lawrence (1978) found in their research, that the researchers with the strongest professional commitment had higher research productivity. A strong body of theoretical research suggesting a negative relationship specifically between higher commitment levels and lower turnover Intentions are well established (Chen et al., 1998; Cohen, 1998; Cohen, 1993; Firth et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 2002; Iverson, 1999; Mowday et al., 1982; Rosin and Korabik, 1995; Schwepker, 2001; Shore et al., 1990; Vallabh and Donald, 2001; Williams and Hazer, 1986).

Studies have also been conducted to find the association between the three subscales i.e.: Normative, Affective and Continuance components of Organisational Commitment. According to **Meyer and Allen**, these components of commitment are not mutually exclusive: an employee can simultaneously be committed to the organization in an affective, normative, and continuance sense, at varying levels of intensity.

The relationship between age and organisational commitment has been studied by (Steers, 1977; Stevens et aī. 1978; Morris and Sherman, 1981; DeCotis and Summers, 1987; Balaji, 1986; Steers, 1977; Alvi and Ahmed, 1987; Diesh, 1997; Cohen, 1993; Pattanayak, 1993; Shrivastava and Dolke, 1978; Mannheim, 1975). Age is usually found to be positively related to commitment. Bhagat et al. (1981) found that other variables such as age, education and need

for achievement which were the antecedents of organisational commitment in earlier empirical studies, failed to predict commitment in the heterogeneous sample of working women.

Kassahun (2005) found that employee age came out as the most important predictors of the organisational commitment.

Another personal variable closely related to age is tenure. Stevens et al. (1978) indicated that certain role factors such as tenure are strong influences on commitment. Studies (Cohen. 1993; Balaji, 1986) found that organisational commitment was directly associated with length of service in organization. Particularly, Cohen (1993) reported that the correlation and commitment was greater among the more senior employees (i.e. those with more than nine years of experience). In another slightly different study, Meyer and Allen (1993) reported that analysis of organizational tenure generally showed a mild curvilinear relationship whereby middle-tenure employees possessed less measured commitment than new or senior level (by age) employees. In their subsequent analysis, Meyer and Allen, (1997) observed that it is possible that employees need to acquire a certain amount of experience with an organisation to become strongly attached to it, or that long-service

employees retrospectively develop affective attachment to their organisation.

Job tenure, another age-related variable, is also found to be positively related to commitment (Sheldon, 1971; Alutto et al. 1973; Farrell and Rustbelt, 1981; Alvi and Ahmed, 1987). On the other hand, level of education is found to be negatively related to commitment by some researchers (Steers, 1977; Morris and Sherman, 1981; Glisson and Charles, 1988) found that one worker characteristic, education, was found to be a significant predictor of commitment. Pooja Purang (2008) observed that the positive perception of HRD climate predict a better Commitment level.

METHODOLOGY

Present study

The above mentioned and other similar studies made the plot for the present study. The authors attempt to study Organisational Commitment in two strata of culturally diverse organisations. In all, nine organisations belonging to Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh region were studied comprising three Public sector organisations and six private sector organizations in Food Processing Industry. Description of the organisations is as follows:

Exhibit 1: (Targeted Organizations)

Public Sector Organisations	Hafed, Panchkula (Haryana)
	Vita, Ambala (Haryana)
	Markfed, Patiala (Punjab)
Private sector organisations	LT Overseas Pvt. Ltd., Jind (Haryana)
intace booter organism	Bonn Nutrients Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana (Punjab)
	Milk Plant, Jind (Haryana)
	Pepsi Food Pvt. Ltd., Patiala (Punjab)
	Alchemist, Kurali (Punjab)
	Nestle, Moga (Punjab)

Objectives

The paper studies Organisational Commitment in Food Processing Industry (Both Public and Private Sector organisations). The main objectives of the study are as follows:

- To study Organisational Commitment visa-vis psycho-demographic factors i.e.
 Marital Status, Qualification, Hierarchy, Age, Gender, Total Work Experience etc.
- To compare the level of Organisational Commitment in Public and Private Sector of Food Processing Industry.
- To find the correlation between the three subscales- Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment.

Hypotheses

H_{1.1s}: There is a significant difference in the level of Normative Commitment at different hierarchical levels.

- **H**_{1,2a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Affective Commitment at different hierarchical levels.
- $\mathbf{H}_{1.3a}$: There is a significant difference in the level of Continuance Commitment at different hierarchical levels.
- $\mathbf{H_{2.1a}}$: There is a significant difference in the level of Normative Commitment for male and female employees.
- **H**_{2.2a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Affective Commitment for male and female employees.
- **H**_{2.3a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Continuance Commitment for male and female employees.
- **H**_{3.1a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Normative Commitment for married and single employees.
- **H**_{3.2a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Affective Commitment for married and single employees.
- **H**_{3.3a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Continuance Commitment for married and single employees.
- **H**_{4.1a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Normative Commitment for the employees possessing different educational levels.
- **H**_{4.2a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Affective Commitment for the employees possessing different educational level.
- **H**_{4.3a}: There is a significant difference in the level of Continuance Commitment for the employees possessing different educational level.
- **H**_{5.1a}: There is a significant correlation between Normative Commitment and the age of the employees.
- $\mathbf{H}_{5,2a}$: There is a significant correlation between Affective Commitment and the age of the employees.
- **H**_{5.3a}: There is a significant correlation between Continuance Commitment and the age of the employees.
- **H**_{6.1a}: There is a significant correlation between Normative Commitment and total work experience of the employees.
- **H**_{6,2a}: There is a significant correlation between Affective Commitment and total work experience of the employees.

- **H**_{6.3a}: There is a significant correlation between Continuance Commitment and total work experience of the employees.
- **H**_{7.1a}: There is a significant correlation between Normative and Affective Commitment in Food Processing Industry.
- **H**_{7.2a}: There is a significant correlation between Affective and Continuance Commitment in Food Processing Industry.
- **H**_{7.3a}: There is a significant correlation between Normative and Continuance Commitment in Food Processing Industry.
- **H**_{8.1a}: There is a significant difference between the level of Normative Commitment of the employees in Public and Private Sector Organisations.
- **H**_{8.2a}: There is a significant difference between the level of Affective Commitment of the employees in Public and Private Sector Organisations.
- **H**_{8.3a}: There is a significant difference between the level of Continuance Commitment of the employees in Public and Private Sector Organisations.

Research Design

The study is descriptive and empirical in nature. Three organizations were chosen from Public sector and six from the Private sector organizations of Food Processing Industry using Quota Sampling. Then a sample of managers, supervisors and workers was chosen from a sample frame of nine companies using Stratified Random Sampling. Managers and Supervisors and Workers were taken in the ratio of 1:2:3, based on availability and feasibility of the study. Out of a total of 196 respondents:

- 80 respondents belong to Public Sector and 116 to Private sector in the Food Processing Industry.
- 29 are managers, 76 are supervisors and 91 are workers.
- 171 are males and 25 are females.
- 164 are married and 32 are unmarried.
- 90 have professional qualifications and 106 have no professional qualifications.

ISSN: 0974-0988

The other demographics are mentioned in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2: Descriptive Statistics

Parameters	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	196	22	68	39.52	11.560
Total Work Experience	196	1	42	16.35	11.629

Data Collection

Primary data was collected through preliminary interviews followed by a questionnaire. Organisational Commitment questionnaire (Allen and Mayer, 1991) adapted by Chinnappan (TISS Bombay) is used to undertake the study. The scale is multidimensional, suggesting three subscales i.e.; Normative (9 items), Affective (11 items) and Continuance Commitment (6 items). The cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was found to be 0.85. The questionnaire also sought demographic information of respondent's i.e. age,

gender, education, marital status, hierarchical level, and total work experience.

DATA ANALYSIS

The data was analyzed using SPSS. Necessary tables encompassing SPSS output is included in the paper at the appropriate places. The Confidence Level of 95% is assumed for the study. To test the $1^{\rm st}$ hypothesis ($H_{1.1a}$, $H_{1.2a}$ and $H_{1.3a}$), One-way ANOVA is used for multi-level comparisons.

Results of ANOVA (Hierarchy-wise comparison)

Table 1: ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Affective Commitment	Between Groups	65.357	2	32.678	1.270	.283
	Within Groups	4965.031	193	25.726		
	Total	5030.388	195			The state of the s
Continuance Commitment	Between Groups	28.609	2	14.305	1.313	.271
	Within Groups	2102.656	193	10.895		
	Total	2131.265	195			
Normative Commitment	Between Groups	11.246	2	5.623	.462	.631
	Within Groups	2351.136	193	12.182		
	Total	2362.383	195			

The results of one-way ANOVA suggested no significant difference in the level of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment among different hierarchical levels (managers, supervisors and workers), getting p-value more than 0.05. Therefore all the 1^{st} null hypotheses $(H_{1.1a}, H_{1.2a}$ and $H_{1.3a})$, that there is no significant

difference in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment at different hierarchical levels, are not rejected or may be accepted.

Results of Independent Sample t-test (Comparison vis-à-vis Gender)

The results generated through Independent Sample t-test are shown in the table 2 & 3.

Table 2: Group Statistics

	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mear
AFFECTIVE COMMITMENT	Males	171	38.73	5.173	.396
paraine a man e proventama e e primar a mentra com en en en en en en en en el man el mante al mentra de anticio de en en el provinció de en en el mentra en el provinció de en en el mentra en el provinció de en el mentra el provinció de en el provinció de	Females		38.36		.892
CONTINUANCE COMMITMENT	Males	17i	21.87	3.445	.263
	Females	25	22.40	2.121	.424
NORMATIVE COMMITMENT	Males	171	31.51	3.517	.269
	Females	25	30.96	3.247	.649

Table 3: Independent Samples Test

		Levene's To Variances	t-test for Equality of Means			
per period and an article of the period of t		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)
Affective Commitment	Equal variances assumed	.958	.329	.340	194	.734
	EVNA			.380	34.175	.706
Continuance Commitment	Equal variances assumed	3.944	.048	746	194	.457
gan bannan negar a Guara gan aman aran a bagail a an aran manar a da ingga ban kama Angka	EVNA	To a second seco		- 1.059	45.124	.295
Normative Commitment	Equal variances assumed	.370	.544	.743	194	.458
and the second	EVNA	***************************************		.789	32.805	.436

In all the cases, we cannot assume equal variances for male and female sample as p-value of the F-test in the case of Continuance commitment comes out to be less than 0.05. The results of Independent Sample t-test suggested no significant difference in the level of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment for male and female employees, getting p-value more than 0.05. Therefore all the 2nd null hypotheses

 $(H_{2.1a}, H_{2.2a}$ and $H_{2.3a})$, that there is no significant difference in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment for male and female employees, are not rejected or may be accepted.

Results of Independent Sample t-test (Comparison vis-à-vis Marital Status)

The results generated through Independent Sample t-test are shown in the table 4 & 5.

Table 4: Group Statistics

paratimal material constraint material and the second material and the second material and the second provides and the	Marital status	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Affective Commitment	Married	164	38.60	4.749	.371
and the second of the second o	Unmarried	32	39.12	6.593	1.165
Continuance Commitment	Married	164	22.06	3.302	.258
	Unmarried	32	21.31	3.306	.584
Normative Commitment	Married	164	31.28	3.415	.267
NOTHIALIVE COMMITTEETH	Unmarried	32	32.28	3.744	.662

Table 5: Independent Samples Test

	fire many	Samples Test						
	Appeal enough common carbon for the	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality Means				
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)		
Affective Commitment	EVA	15.799	0.000	536	194	0.592		
	EVNA	And the second s	the state of the second control of the state	431	37.520	0.669		
Continuance .Commitment	EVA	0.080	0.777	1.173	194	0.242		
	EVNA		t manual subjects to the subject of	1.172	43.925	0.248		
Normative Commitment	EVA	0.589	0.444	1.492	194	0.137		
geneti samat samanahkada kada masanik kada mata kada da kada kada kada kada kada kad	EVNA	MANAGEMENT OF A STATE		1.403	41.676	0.168		

In all the cases, we cannot assume equal variables for Married and single employees as produce of the F-test in the case of Affective commitment comes out to be less than 0.05. The results of Independent Sample t-test suggested no significant difference in the level of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment for

married and single employees, getting p-value more than 0.05. Therefore all the $3^{\rm rd}$ null hypotheses ($H_{3.1a}$, $H_{3.2a}$ and $H_{3.3a}$), that there is no significant difference in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment for married and single employees, are not rejected or may be accepted.

Results of ANOVA (Education-Wise Comparison)

Table 6: ANOVA (Education-Wise Comparison)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Affective Commitment	Between Groups	39.558	3	13.186	.507	.678
	Within Groups	4990.830	192	25.994		
er had harmonication of published in a specific of the province destroyed by the specific state of the province published specific specifi	Total	5030.388	195			
Continuance Commitment	Between Groups	117.425	3	39.142	3.732	0.012
	Within Groups	2013.840	192	10.489		
personal makes the standard describes the standard standard of the form of the standard of the	Total	2131.265	195		-	
Normative Commitment	Between Groups	18.348	3	6.116	.501	0.682
	Within Groups	2344.035	192	12.209		
The field force on a conservation of the conse	Total	2362.383	195	The second secon		

The results of one-way ANOVA suggested no significant difference in the level of Affective and Normative Commitment among different educational level getting p-value more than 0.05 but suggested a difference in the level of continuance commitment among different educational levels. Therefore hypothesis $H_{4,1a}$, $H_{4,2a}$ that there is no significant difference in the

level of Normative and Affective Commitment for the employees possessing different experience levels, are not rejected or may be accepted. But $H_{4.3n}$ that **t**here is no significant difference in the level of Continuance Commitment for the employees possessing different educational level is rejected

Results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Correlation of Commitment with Age) Table 7: Correlations (Commitment with Age)

		Normative Commitment	Affective Commitment	Continuance Commitment	Age	Total Work Experience
Affective Commitment	Pearson Correlation	1.000	.456**	.421**	.028	.054
the same of the sa	Sig. (2- tailed)	The state of the s	.000	.000	.697	.455
The second of the second secon	N	196.000	196	196	196	196
Continuance Commitment	Pearson Correlation	.456**	1.000	.380**	.052	.088
All the control of th	Sig. (2- tailed)	.000		.000	.467	.222
Birth (1905) in the Marian (1965) have been seen and the commence of the second section	N	196	196.000	196	196	196
NORMATIVE COMMITMENT	Pearson Correlation	.421**	.380***	1.000	.007	016
gartinaminini (magain) mammanini magaini (magain) daman gartigal mam	Sig. (2- tailed)	.000	.000		.921	.824
	N	196	196	196.000	196	196
Age	Pearson Correlation	.028	.052	.007	1.000	.920**
grande de la discolario de la part di giu minimpione qui tri di como a servi trica di	Sig. (2- tailed)	.697	.467	.921	agrant no ocean reliation consens	.000
	N	196	196	196	196.000	196
Total Work Experience	Pearson Correlation	.054	.088	016	.920**	1.000
	Sig. (2- tailed)	.455	.222	.824	.000	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	N	196	196	196	196	196.000

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Table 7) suggested that there is no significant correlation between age of the employees and level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment. Therefore all the 5th null hypotheses ($H_{5.1}$, $H_{5.2}$ and $H_{5.3}$), that there is no significant correlation between Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment and Age of the employees, are not rejected or may be accepted.

4.6 Results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Correlation of Commitment with Total Work Experience):

The results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Table 7) suggested that there is no significant correlation between the total work experience of the employees and level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment Therefore all the 6th null hypotheses (H_{6.1}, H_{6.2} and H_{6.3}), that there is no significant correlation between Normative,

Affective and Continuance Commitment and Mork Experience of the employees, are not rejected or may be accepted.

rejected of the Results of Karl Pearson's Correlation Results of Marl Pearson's Correlation formulation between Sub-scales of Commitment):

Consider the results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Table The results of Karl Pearson's Correlation (Table 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that there is a very significant (p < 7) suggested that the very significa

between Affective and Continuance Commitment (r = 0.421, p = 0.000). A still positive correlation is found between Continuance and Normative Commitment (r = 0.456, p = 0.000) significant at 5% level of significance. Therefore all the 7th null hypotheses ($H_{7.1a}$. $H_{7.2a}$ $H_{7.3a}$.), that there is no significant correlation between Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment subscales are not rejected or may be accepted.

Results of Independent Sample t-test (Comparison vis-à-vis Public and Private sector Organisations)

Table 8: Correlations Group Statistics

	Comp.	N		Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Affective Commitment	Public	80	38.60	4.018	.449
a para y hadilana dan apertalian para da para para da para para da para par	Pvt	116	38.74	5.714	.530
Continuance Commitment	Public	80	22.70	3.087	.345
an and an extended and an extended and a strong of the str	Pvt		2.11	3.362	.312
Normative Commitment	Public	80	31.28	3.500	.391
	Pvt	116	31.56	3.477	.323

Table 9: Correlations Independent Sample tests

	es maiores account militaries	Levene's Te of Variance	t-test for Equality of Means			
		F	Sig.	Т	df	Sig. (2- tailed)
Affective Commitment	Equal variances assumed	12.186	.001	191	194	.849
	EVNA		and the second s	203	193.914	.839
Continuance Commitment	Equal variances assumed	1.974	.162	2.721	194	.007
Destination on the second from the second se	EVNA			2.764	178.894	.006
Normative Commitment	Equal variances assumed	.241	.624	563	194	.574
proprietation and results or content of the content	EVNA		Comment of the Commen	562	169.268	.575

In all the cases, we cannot assume equal variances for Public and Private sector organisations as p-value of the F-test in the case of Affective commitment comes out to be less than 0.05. The results of Independent Sample t-test suggested no significant difference in the level of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment for the employees of Public and Private sector Organisations, getting p-value more than 0.05 in all the cases. Therefore all the 8th null hypotheses (H_{8.1a}. H_{8.2a} H_{8.3a}.) that there is no significant difference between the

level of normative, affective and Continuance Commitment of the employees in Public and Private sector Organisations are not rejected or may be accepted.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

 No significant difference was found in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment at different hierarchical levels (managers, supervisors and workers).

- No significant difference was found in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment for male and female employees
- No significant difference was found in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment for married and unmarried/single employees.
- No significant difference was found in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment for the employees possessing different educational levels (Matriculate, Intermediate, Graduation, Post Graduation). These results don't support the results of Glisson and Charles (1988) and Bhagat et al. (1981).
- No significant correlation was found between Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment, and Age of the employees. These results don't support the results of **Bhagat** et al. (1981) and **Kassahun** (2005).
- No significant correlation was found between Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment, and Total Work Experience of the employees.
- A very significant correlation is found between Normative and Affective Commitment, and Affective and Continuance Commitment. A positive correlation was found between Affective and Continuance Commitment, significant at 5% level of significance, supporting the results of Meyer and Allen (1991).
- No significant difference was found in the level of Normative, Affective and Continuance Commitment of employees in Public and Private sector organisations in Food Processing Industry.

REFERENCES

Allen, N.J. and Meyer, J.P. (1991),"The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization", *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, Vol.63 (1), pp1-18.

Alutto, J.A., Hrebiniak, I.G. and Alonso, R.C. (1973), On operationalising the concept of commitment", Social Forces, Vol.51, pp 448-454.

Alvi, S.A. and Ahmed, S.W.(1987), "Assessing Organisational Commitment in a developing

country: Pakistan-A case study", *Human Relations*, Vol. 40,pp 267-280

Angle, Harold L. and Perry, James L (1981), An Empirical Assessment of Organizational Commitment and Organizational Effectiveness, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol.26, No. 1.pp 1-14.

Balaji, C. (1986), Towards a new measure of Organisational Commitment, *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, Vol. 21(3),pp 271-286

Bhagat, Rabi S. and Chassie Marilyn B. (1981), Determinants of Organizational Commitment in Working Women: Some Implications for Organizational Integration, Journal of Occupational Behaviour, Vol.21 pp 17-30.

Buchanan, B. (1974), Building Organisational Commitment: The socialization of Managers in Work Organization, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 19, pp533-546

Chen, X.P., Hui, C. and Sego, D.J. (1998), The role of organizational citizenship behavior in turnover: Conceptualization and preliminary tests of key hypotheses, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 83(6), pp 922–931.

Chinnappan M.V. (1996), A Study of relationship between organizational culture and employee commitment, *Tata institute of social sciences*, Bombay.

Cohen, A. (1993), Age and tenure in relation to organizational commitment: A Meta analysis, *Basic and applied social psychology*, Vol.14 (2), pp143-159

Cohen, A. (1993), Work commitment in relations to withdrawal intentions and union effectiveness. *Journal of Business Research*, 26(1), 75-90.

Cohen, A. (1998), An Examination of the relationship between work commitment and work outcomes among hospital nurses, *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, Vol.14(1-2),pp 1-17.

DeCottis, T.A., andSummers T.P. (1987), The path analysis of a model of the Antecedents and consequences of Organisational Commitmment, *Human Relations*, Vol 40.pp 445-470

Farrell and Rusbult , C.E. (1981), Exchange Variables as predictors of Job satisfaction, job Commitment and turnover: The impact of

rewards, costs, alternatives and investments, organisational behaviour and Human Performance Vol.28, pp 78-95

Firth, L., Mellor, D.J., Moore, K.A. and Loquet, C. (2004), How can managers reduce employee intention to quit?, Journal of Managerial psychology, Vol. 19(2), pp170-187.

Glisson, Charles and Durick, Mark (1988), predictors of Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment in Human Service Organizations, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp 61-81.

Hall, D. T., Schneider, B., and Nygfren, H. T. (1970), Personal factors in organizational identification, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol.15, pp176-190.

Hrebiniak, I.G. and Alutto, J.A. (1972), Personal and Role –Related factors in the development of Organisational Commitment, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol.17, pp 555-572

Iverson, R.D. (1999), An event history analysis of employee turnover: the case of hospital employees in Australia, *Human Resource Management Review*, Vol. 9(4), pp 397-418.

Iverson, R.D. and Roy, P. (1994), A causal model of behavioral commitment: Evidence from a study of Australian blue-collar employees, *Journal of Management*, Vol.20(1), pp15-41.

Jauch, Lawrence R., Glueck, William F. and Osborn Richard N.(1978), Organizational Loyalty, Professional Commitment, and Academic Research Productivity, *The Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp 84-92.

Kanter, R.M. (1968), Commitment and social Organization: A study of Commitment mechanism of utopian communities, *American Sociological Review*, vol.33, pp 499-517

Kassahun, Tilaye (2005), Level of Organizational commitment: Its Correlates and Predictors, *Indian Journal Industrial Relations*, Vol. 41, No. 1, July-2005.

Mannheim, B.A. (1975), Comparative study of work centrality, job rewards and satisfaction, Sociology of Work and occupation, Vol. 2, pp 79-102

Meyer, J.P. and Allen, N.J. (1991), A three-component conceptualization of organizational

commitment, Human Resource Management Review, Vol.1(2), pp 61-89.

Meyer, J.P. and Allen, N.J. (1997), Commitment in the workplace; Theory, Research and Application, Sage, Thousand Oaks.

Meyer, J.P., Allen, N.J. and Smith, C.A. (1993), Commitment to organizations and occupations: Extension and test of a three – component conceptualization, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 78(4), pp 538-551.

Meyer, J.P., Stanley, D.J., Herscovitch, L. and Topolnytsky, L. (2002), Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment to the Organization: A Meta-analysis of Antecedents, Correlates, and Consequences, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol.61 (1), pp 20-52.

Morris, J.H. and Sherman, J.D. (1981), Generaliazability of an Organisational Commitment Model, Academy of Management Journal, Vol.24, pp 512-526

Mowday, R.T. (1999), Reflections on the study and relevance of organizational commitment, *Human Resource Management Review*, Vol.8 (4), pp 387-401.

Mowday, R.T., Porter, L.W. and Steers, R.M. (1982), Employee Organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism and turnover, Academic Press, New York.

Mowday, R.T., Steers, R.M. and Porter, L.W. (1979), The measurement of Organisational Commitment, *Journal of Vocational Behaviour*, Vol. 14, pp 224-247.

Pattanyak, B. (1993), Organisational commitment: A study on Industrial public sector, *The creative psychologist*, Vol.5 (1-2), pp. 41-45.

Pennings, J.M. and Goodman, P.S. (1977). New Perspective on Organisational Effectiveness, Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco.

Presthodt, P.H.., Lane, I.M. and Mathews, R.C. (1987), Nurse Turnover as Reasoned action: Development of a Process Model, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol.72, pp.221-228.

Purang, P. (2008), Dimensions of HRD Climate enhancing Organisational Commitment in Indian Organizations, *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, Vol. 43, No.4, pp. 528-546

Raju, P.M.and Srivastva, R.C. (1986).

Organisational commitment in relation to certain job attitudes, *Indian Journal of Industrial relations*, Vol.21, pp 462-472

Rosin, H. and Korabik, K. (1995), Organizational experiences and propensity to leave: A multivariate investigation of men and women managers, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 46, pp 1-16.

Salancik, G. (1977), Commitment and the control of organizational behavior and belief. In B. Staw and G. Salancik (Eds.), New directions in organizational behavior (pp. 1-2'1). Chicago: St. Clair Press.

Schwarzwald, J. Koslowsky, M and Shalit, B. (1992), A field study of employees' attitudes and behaviors after promotion decisions, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 77 (4), pp 511-514

Schwepker, C.H. (2001), Ethical climate's relationship to job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention in the sales force, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 54(1), pp 39-52.

Sheldon, M.E. (1971), Investments and involvements and mechanisms producing commitment to organization, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol.16, pp 142-150

Shore, L.M. and Martin, H.J. (1989), Job satisfaction and organizational commitment in relation to work performance and turnover intentions, *Human Relations*, Vol. 42, pp 625-638. Shrivastva, P.K. and Dolke, A.M. (1978).

Personality and organizational correlates of work and organizational identification, ATIRA

Steers, R.M. (1977), Antecedents and Outcomes of Organisational commitment, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 22, pp 46-56

Stevens, John M., Beyer, Janice M. and Trice, Harrison M (1978), Assessing Personal, Role, and Organizational Predictors of Managerial Commitment, *The Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 21, No. 3., pp. 380-396.

Thanswor, Gautam, Dick, Rolf van, Wagner, Ulrich, Upadhyay Narottam and Davis Ann J. (2004), Organizational citizenship behavior and Organizational commitment in Nepal, Aston Academy for Research in Management: Isbn No. 185449 6204.

Vallabh, M. and Donald, F. (2001), A comparison of black and white managers on intent to leave and job mobility, *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, Vol.27(2),pp 1-19.

William L.J. and Hazer J.T. (1986), Antecedents and consequences of Job Satisfaction and commitment to turnover models: A reanalysis using latent variables structural equation methods, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol.71(2), pp 219-231